

# AHHER UNIVERD STAVHES OF ANTERRICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE: PRESENTS SHALL COME:

# Aelta & Fine Tand Company

Collegeas, there has been presented to the

### Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, upon due examination made, the said applicant(s) is (are) adjudged to be entitled to a certificate of plant variety protection under the LAW.

NOW, therefore, this certificate of plant variety protection is to grant UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLI-CANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC ged of the variety in a public repository as provided by  ${
m LAW}$ , the right to ex-DE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, PORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT 542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

SOYBEAN

'DP 3818'

AMENDED CERTIFICATE

\*Original grant February 28, 1995 In Lestimony Winercot, *I have hereunle sel* my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed

at the City of Washington, D.C.

this 28th day of April

the year of our Lord one thousand nine ninety-five.

hundred and

Attast

Plant Variety Protection Office

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250.

FORM APPROVED: OMB 0581-0055, Expires 1/31/91

	3,000,000	TOMM ATTROVED.	Oma 0307-0033, Expires 1/3 [/9]
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIET  (Instructions on	Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421) Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).		
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)		2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NO.	3. VARIETY NAME
DELTA AND PINE LAND COMPANY	DP 3818		
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	5. PHONE (Include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
100 Main Street:			PVPO NUMBER
Scott, Mississippi 38772		(601) 742-3351	9300021
			F Date
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NAME (Botanio	al)	Nov. 12, 1992
Glycine max	Leguminos	ae	N 2:55 □ A.M. ☑ P.M.
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)	9	DATE OF DETERMINATION	F Filing and Examination Fee:
Soybean		1986	E : 2150.四
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGAN	VIZATION (Corporation, part		S Date
Corporation	vien voiv (corporation, part	iorsing, association, etc.)	R Nov. 12, 1992.
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION	1.2 6.		C Certificate Fee:
	. 12. UA	TE OF INCORPORATION	·
Delaware  13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO			F Jeb. 9, 1995
Harry B. Collins Delta and Pine Land Company P.O. Box 157 Scott, MS 38772		PHONE (Include area cod	la):
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (Folio	w INSTRUCTIONS on revers	e)	<i>5</i> ).
a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety.			
b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety.			
c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety.  d. X Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.			
e. Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership	<b>n</b> .		
1 X Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seed 3		ariety Protection Office	
g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to "Tr	reasurer of the United Sta	tes."	
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOL Protection Act.)  YES (II "YES." answer items 16 and 17 beld	ow) 🔀 NO (II "NO	AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED SEED? (Se )," skip to item 18 below)	e section 83(a) of the Plant Variety
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS T NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?	O 17. IF "YES" TO	ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF PRODU	CTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
YES NO	Four	IDATION REGIST	ERED CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VAR	RIETY IN THE U.S.?		
YES (If "YES," through Plant Variety Protection Act	Patent Act. Give date	<u> </u>	
19 HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR MA	ARKETED IN THE U.S. OR O'	HER COUNTRIES?	
YES (If "YES," give names of countries and dates)  NO  USA	/ January - J	une, 1992	
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic see request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicated.	cable.		•
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this s uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitled	to protection under the	e provisions of section 42 of the F	(s) that the variety is distinct, Plant Variety Protection Act.
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herei	ın can jeopardize prote	etion and result in penalties.	·
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPACITY OR TI		DATE
A proves Thomas		Soybean Breeder	11-9-92
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPACITY OR TH		DATE
Hany & Whim	Vice Pre Director	of Research	11-9-92
FORM CSSD-420 (5-89) Edition of FORM LS-470, 3-86, is obsolete.			

#### EXHIBIT A

# DELTA AND PINE LAND COMPANY'S APPLICATION FOR DP 3818

## ORIGIN AND BREEDING HISTORY

- 1980- Original cross made 80053 Bedford \* Bragg
- 1981-  $F_1$  grown in field Scott, MS
- 1982- F<sub>2</sub> grown in field Scott, MS
- 1983- F<sub>3</sub> grown in F<sub>3</sub> plant rows. Selected 7 plants.
- 1984- F<sub>4</sub> grown in plant rows in cyst field.
- 1985- F<sub>5</sub> grown in cyst plant rows.
- 1986-  $F_6$  grown in plant rows and number 2680 assigned to line. 2680 determined to be stable and breeding true for characteristics described in exhibit C of this application. At this time no variants are known or have been observed.
- 1987- Grown in preliminary yield tests.
- 1988-91 Grown in advanced yield tests as key #2327. Increase begun in 1989. Off-type plants were removed from seed stocks.
- 1991-92 Entered into State yield tests as DPX 3818. Seed increased to 4,000 units.
- 1992- Released as DP 3818.

# EXHIBIT B Delta and Pine Land Company's Application for DP 3818

## Novelty Statement

DP 3818 is most similar to the variety Braxton or Bragg. Differences include but are not restricted to the following:

- 1) DP 3818 is resistant to race 3 and moderately reistant to race 14 of soybean cyst nematode whereas Bragg and Braxton are susceptible
- 2) DP 3818 has white flowers whereas Braxton has purple flowers

3

EXHIBIT C (Soybean)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

# OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY SOYBEAN (Glycine max L.)

00 / BE/	TIT TOTY CITIE THEX L.	
NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	VARIETY NAME
DELTA AND PINE LAND COMPANY	DPX 3818	DP 3818
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Cod	e)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
100 Main Street		PVPO NUMBER
Scott, Mississippi 38772		9300021
Choose the appropriate response which characterizes the var	rinery in the factures described l	halan Whan the number of significant distant
in your answer is fewer than the number of house provided	along the reatures described t	below. When the number of significant digits
in your answer is fewer than the number of boxes provided,	place a zero in the first box w	hen number is 9 or less (e.g., 0 9).
Starred characters * are considered fundamental to an adequ	uate soybean variety descriptio	on. Other characters should be described
when information is available.	·	
1. SEED SHAPE:		
	Y	
2  L   W	(T)	
1 = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = < 1.2)		L/W ratio > 1.2; L/T ratio = < 1.2)
3 = Elongate (L/Tiratio > 1.2; T/W = < 1.2)	4 = Elongate Flattened ()	L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W > 1.2)
2. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)		<del></del>
Z. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
1 1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown	4 - Pl1	0
1 1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown	4 = Black 5 = Other (	Specify)
3. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed)		
2 1 = Dull ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 2 = Shiny ('Nebso	y'; 'Gasoy 17')	
4. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1 3 Grams per 100 seeds		
5. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
5. HIEOM COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
6 1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown 4	بسياس خسا	
0 1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown 4	= Gray 5 = Imperfect Blac	k 6 = Black 7 = Other (Specify)
6. COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)	,	
(2)		
1 = Yellow 2 = Green	•	
•	• •	
7. SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:		
2 1 = Low 2 = High		
<b>"</b>		
a ceen protein of postage and		
8. SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:		•
1 = Type A (SP1 <sup>a</sup> ) 2 = Type B (SP1 <sup>b</sup> )		
2= Type B (SPT*)		
9. HYPOCOTYL COLOR:		
2 1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis') 2 = Green with	bronze band below cotyledons ('V	Voodworth': 'Tracy')
3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71')		
4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgson'; '	Coker Hampton 266A')	
0. LEAFLET SHAPE:		
1 = Lanceolate 2 = Oval 3 = Ovate	4 = Other (Specify)	

FORM LMGS-470-57 (6-83)

(Edition of 2-82 is obsolete.)

11	. LEAF	LET SIZE:		
	2	1 = Small ('Amsoy 71'; 'A5312') 3 = Large ('Crawford'; 'Tracy')	2 = Medium ('Corsoy 79'; 'Gasoy 17')	
12	LEAF	COLOR:		
	2	1 = Light Green ('Weber'; 'York') 3 = Dark Green ('Gnome'; 'Tracy')	2 = Medium Green ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton')	
<b>★</b> 13.	FLOW	VER COLOR:		
	1	1 = White 2 = Purple	3 = White with purple throat	
<b>★</b> 14.	POD C	COLOR:		
·	1	1 = Tan 2 = Brown	3 = Black	
<b>★</b> 15.	PLAN	T PUBESCENCE COLOR:		
	2	1 = Gray 2 = Brown (Tawny)		
16.	PLAN	T TYPES:		
	2	1 = Slender ('Essex'; 'Amsoy 71') 3 = Bushy ('Gnome'; 'Govan')	2 = Intermediate ('Amcor'; 'Braxton')	
<b>★</b> 17.	PLANT	Т НАВІТ:	**************************************	
· .	11	1 = Determinate ('Gnome'; 'Braxton') 3 = Indeterminate ('Nebsoy'; 'Improved Po	2 = Semi-Determinate ('Will') elican')	
<b>★</b> 18.	MATU	RITY GROUP:		
[1	1	1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VII	4 = I 5 = II 6 = III II 12 = IX 13 = X	7 = IV 8 = V
<b>★</b> 19.	DISEA	SE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 =	Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)	·
	ВАСТ	FERIAL DISEASES:		
*	2	Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli v	ar. soiensis)	
*	$\sqcap$	Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea)		
		Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci)		
	ELINGA	AL DISEASES:		
*		Brown Spot (Septoria glycines)		
	. —	Frageye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina)		
*		🗀 🦳	ace 3 Race 4 Race 5	Other (Specify)
		Target Spot (Corynespora cassiicola)		
		Downy Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum ve	ar. manshurica)	
		Powdery Mildew (Microsphaera diffusa)		
*		Brown Stem Rot (Cephalosporium gregatur	n)	•
٠		Stem Canker (Diaporthe phaseolorum var. o	aulivora)	

. 19.	DISEA	SE REACTION	i: (Enter 0 = Not 1	Fested; 1 = Susceptib	ie; 2 = Resistant)	(Continued)				
• •	FUN	GAL DISEASE	S: (Continued)				•	9300021		
*		Pod and Sten	n Blight <i>(Diaporthe</i>	phaseolorum var; so	ijae)					
		Purple Seed S	Stain <i>(Cercospora k</i>	ikuchii)						
		Rhizoctonia l	Root Rot (Rhizoct	onia solani)						
★	1	Phytophthora Race 1 Race 8	Rot (Phytophtho	Race 3	Race 4	Race 5	Race 6	Race 7		
· · · ·	· VIRA	AL DISEASES:	·			•				
		Bud Blight (T	obacco Ringspot \	/irus)						
		Yellow Mosai	c (Bean Yellow Mo	osaic Virus)						
*		Cowpea Mosa	ic (Cowpea Chloro	otic Virus)						
		Pod Mottle (E	Bean Pod Mottle Vi	irus)						
*	$\overline{\Box}$	Seed Mottle (	Soybean Mosaic Vi	irus)						
•	NEM.	ATODE DISEA	ASES:							
	Soybean Cyst Nematode (Heterodera glycines)									
*		Race 1	Race 2	2 Race 3	Race 4	2 Other (S)	Race	14		
		Lance Nemate	ode ( <i>Hoplolaimus</i> (	Colombus)						
*	2	Southern Roo	t Knot Nematode	(Meloidogyne incogn	nita)		·			
*	同	Northern Roo	t Knot Nematode	(Meloidogyne Hapla)	ı					
	2	Peanut Root F	Knot Nematode (M	leloidogyne arenaria)	1					
	$\overline{\sqcap}$	Reniform Nen	natode <i>(Rotylench</i>	ulus reniformis)						
	П	OTHER DISE	ASE NOT ON FO	RM (Specify):						
				·	·					
20. F	HYSIO			0 = Not Tested; 1 = :	Susceptible; 2 = R	esistant)				
			on Calcareous Soi	। /e to High C	hloride			•		
	LX	Other (Specify	,			3 %				
21. I	NSECT			sted; 1 = Susceptible;	2 = Resistant)			:		
			Beetle (Epilachna							
			opper ( <i>Empoasca f</i>	fabae)	• • •					
		Other (Specify								
22. 1				OSELY RESEMBLE	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
	CHAR Iant Sha	ACTER	Braxton	E OF VARIETY		ARACTER	NAME OF	VARIETY		
	Plant Shape Braxton Seed Coat Luster Bed Tord  Leaf Shape Braxton Seed Size Bragg									
	eaf Colo		Braxton		Seed S		Bragg	·		
L	eaf Size		Braxton			ng Pigmentation	Bedford	<u> </u>		
:				• •		•				

VARIETY	NO OF DAYS	PLANT LODGING	CM PLANT	LEAFLET SIZE		SEED CONTENT		SEED SIZE G/100	NO. SEEDS
	MATURITY	SCORE	HEIGHT	CM Width	CM Length	% Protein	% Oil	SEEDS	POD
DP 3818 Submitted	147	2.7	99		i	, 36.7	21.3	14.3	
Braxton Name of Similar Variety	146	2.3	99			39.6	20.8	16.8	

### PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

- 1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
- 2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
- 3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A2 in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
- 4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19.

# EXHIBIT D Delta and Pine Land Company's Application for DP 3818 Additional Description of Variety

#### DESCRIPTION OF DPX 3818

DPX 3818 is an Early Group VIII Soybean maturing four days earlier than DP 878 and 1 day later than Braxton. It has very good yield potential with cyst race 3 and 14 resistance and resistance to common and peanut root knot nematode. It is moderately susceptible to stem canker, but shows more resistance than DP 878. DP 3818 plants are moderately tall adn have white flowers, tawny pubescence, and tan pods. Seeds are shiny yellow with black hila. Lodging resistance is similar to DP 878. DP 3818 has averaged 17% more yield than DP 878 in 19 different Delta adn Pine Land tests with better productivity especially in the presence of cyst, root knot, and stem canker.

# II. Agronomic Characteristics:

	DPX 3818	DP 417	DP 878	Coker 368
	(Nominee)	(Check)	(Check)	(Check)
Maturity		0	+3	-0
Plant Height	39.	43	40	38
Lodging	2.7	2.8	2.4	2.6
Shattering	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
Seedling Emergence	<u></u>			
% Protein	36.7	39.9	36.3	38.5
% Oils	21.3	19.9	21.0	21.0
Seeds/1b.	3175	3125	3650	3250

III. Add Yield Data (as Outlined in Instruction Part B) should be included in table on attached pages.

# 1989-90 YIELD AND AGRONOMIC DATA SUMMARIES

7:
4
8
6
1
4

1990 Y	IELD AND	AGRONOMIC DATA	SUMMA	RY	•
LINE	YIELD	Z YIELD	TAM	HGT	LDG
DP 3818	48.6	119	-3	37	2.5
DP 878	40.9	100	+2	39	2.2
DP 417	45.8	112	0	42	2.7
Coker 368	42.5	104	0	- 37	2.6
A 7986	47.5	116	+1	35	1.9
DP 3776	50.7	124	-3	36	1.7
# Location		9	5	6	7
1989 Y	IELD AND	AGRONOMIC DATA	SUMMA	RY	
<u>LINE</u>	YIELD	% YIELD	MAT	HGT	LDG
DPX 3818	48.4	115	-1	41	2.9
DP 878	42.0	100	+3	40	2.5
DP 417	43.9	105	0	44	2.8
Coker 417	43.2	103	0	39	2.6
A 7986	43.9	105	+2	39	2.3
# Location	s 9	9	7	9	7

1988 YIELD AND AGRONOMIC DATA SUMMARY

LINE YIELD % YIELD MAT HGT LDG

## YIELD SUMMARY

٠	Ву Б	Region- 198 MIDSOUTH	39-90 Yield i		UTHEAST		MEAN	
	LINE	YIELD	% YIELD		IELD	% YIELD	YIELD	% YIELD
	DPX 3818 .	51.1	116		5.3	119		
	DP 878	44.1	100		88.2	100		
	DP 417	44.1	100		5.8	120		
	Coker 368	40.8	793		5.5	119	•	
	A 7986	47.0	107		4.1	115		
	# Locations	10	207		8			•
	" Bocations			•				
								•
	Ву 5	tates- 198	39-90					
	LINE	AR	MS	<b>LA</b>	NC	<u>sc</u>	<u>GA</u>	MEAN
	DPX 3818	52.2	<u>56.</u> 4	41.5	50.2	40.1	41.1	
	DP 878	45.2	48.5	36.0	43.3	35.4	26.0	
	DP 417	44.0	48.4	42.6	49.9	43.1	37.8	
	Cöker 368	43.8	45.6	30.7	48.8	43.4	38.3	
	A 7986	47.5	52.7	37.0	43.6	43.2	48.9	
	# Locations	2	5	3	4	3	1	
				. 1000		_		
			Disease Sit		1-90	Stem	_	Root Knot
	LINE	LOAM	CLAY	SCN		Canker 46.6	$\frac{\text{Frogeye}}{42.5}$	Nematode 32.3
	DPX 3818 DP 878	46.6 43.7	$\frac{\overline{56.0}}{46.1}$	5 <u>9.9</u> 39.5	•	35.2	41.7	18.5
	DP 417	47.5	48.2	37.4		35.8	36.8	25.8
	Coker 368	43.8	45.1	53.8		36.8	31.1	45.1
	A 7986	48.4	52.1	25.1		42.7	39.1	31.2
	# Locations	11	3	1		1	1	1
			AD TO HEAD C	- OMD A D T C O M C				
	DPX 3818	versus	DP 878	DP 417	Calca	r 368 A 798	6 DP 37	76
	DIA 3010	Actono	Dr. 01:0	DI: HII	COKE	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

# YIELD BY TEST AND LOCATIONS 1990 075M- YIELD IN BU/A

18

83

7.0 BU

Total Comparisons

Won By

% Wins

IJJU UIJM-	IIEED	TH DOLK						
Dumas .	Scott,	MS	Lake	Crowley	Kenley	Columbia	Oswego	Arlington
AR	LOAM	CLAY	Prov.	<u>LA</u>	NC	NC	SC	GA
46.6	64.3	58.4	53.2	28.9	38.5	54.0	52.6	48.6
35.2	55.1	49.9	40.8	25.5	35.6	48.8	5115	26.0
35.8	51.1	57.6	41.8	32.5	49.2	54.8	51.6	37.8
36.5	54.4	55.3	36.4	24.5	31.7	51.9	53.4	38.3
42.7	60.8	56.4	44.5	27.5	37.4	54.3	54.9	48.9
43.1	72.2	59.9	51.4	22.5	47.6	59.6	55.0	44.9
11.7	4.5	7.0	10.3	18.2	12.2	7.9	9.9	15.4
	Dumas  AR  46.6  35.2  35.8  36.5  42.7  43.1	Dumas Scott, AR LOAM  46.6 64.3 35.2 55.1 35.8 51.1 8 36.5 54.4 42.7 60.8 43.1 72.2	AR LOAM CLAY 46.6 64.3 58.4 35.2 55.1 49.9 35.8 51.1 57.6 8 36.5 54.4 55.3 42.7 60.8 56.4 43.1 72.2 59.9	Dumas         Scott, MS         Lake           AR         LOAM         CLAY         Prov.           46.6         64.3         58.4         53.2           35.2         55.1         49.9         40.8           35.8         51.1         57.6         41.8           36.5         54.4         55.3         36.4           42.7         60.8         56.4         44.5           43.1         72.2         59.9         51.4	Dumas         Scott, MS         Lake         Crowley           4R         LOAM         CLAY         Prov.         LA           46.6         64.3         58.4         53.2         28.9           35.2         55.1         49.9         40.8         25.5           35.8         51.1         57.6         41.8         32.5           36.5         54.4         55.3         36.4         24.5           42.7         60.8         56.4         44.5         27.5           43.1         72.2         59.9         51.4         22.5	Dumas         Scott, MS         Lake         Crowley         Kenley           4R         LOAM         CLAY         Prov.         LA         NC           46.6         64.3         58.4         53.2         28.9         38.5           35.2         55.1         49.9         40.8         25.5         35.6           35.8         51.1         57.6         41.8         32.5         49.2           36.5         54.4         55.3         36.4         24.5         31.7           42.7         60.8         56.4         44.5         27.5         37.4           43.1         72.2         59.9         51.4         22.5         47.6	Dumas         Scott, MS         Lake         Crowley         Kenley         Columbia           4R         LOAM         CLAY         Prov.         LA         NC         NC           46.6         64.3         58.4         53.2         28.9         38.5         54.0           35.2         55.1         49.9         40.8         25.5         35.6         48.8           35.8         51.1         57.6         41.8         32.5         49.2         54.8           36.5         54.4         55.3         36.4         24.5         31.7         51.9           42.7         60.8         56.4         44.5         27.5         37.4         54.3           43.1         72.2         59.9         51.4         22.5         47.6         59.6	Dumas         Scott, MS         Lake         Crowley         Kenley         Columbia         Oswego           46.6         64.3         58.4         53.2         28.9         38.5         54.0         52.6           35.2         55.1         49.9         40.8         25.5         35.6         48.8         51.5           35.8         51.1         57.6         41.8         32.5         49.2         54.8         51.6           36.5         54.4         55.3         36.4         24.5         31.7         51.9         53.4           42.7         60.8         56.4         44.5         27.5         37.4         54.3         54.9           43.1         72.2         59.9         51.4         22.5         47.6         59.6         55.0

18

67

3.6 BU

9

(2.1 BU)

33%

18

67

2.8 BU

18

83

6.1 BU

•										
	LINE	MEAN								
	DPX, 3818	48.6			•					
	DP 878	40.9								
	DP 417	45.8		·			•			
	Coker 368	42.5								
	A-7986	47.5								
	DP 3776	50.7								
	CV%	10.0						•		
	198	9 -075M Y	IELD IN	BU/A			•			0
	LINE	Dumas		Scott,	MS	Lake	Fairfax	Kenly	Columbia	Oswego
	-	AR	LOAM	CLAY	IRRIGATED	Prov.	S. SC	NC	NC	sc_
	DPX 3818	57.8	53.6	56.4	49.2	42.5	32.3	48.2	59.9	35.5
	DP 878	55.2	39.2	47.7	50.6	41.7	18.5	49.4	39.5	36.3
	DP 417	52.1	34.6	45.1	53.5	36.8	25.8	57.7	37.4	51.8
	Coker 368	51.2	36.0	43.7	38.6	31.1	45.1	57.9	53.8	31.6
	A 7986	52.2	39.0	55.4	51.8	39.1	31.2	57.6	25.1	43.4
	CV%	7.5	9.0	6.7	13.1	8.4	38.4	8.7	17.1	11.9
	* ****	MEAN								
	LINE	<u>MEAN</u>							-	
	DPX 3818	48.4	•				•			
	DP 878	42.0								
	DP 417	43.9								
٠	Coker 368	43.2								
	A 7986	43.9								
	CV%	13.1								
	198	8 v750								
	LINE	Marion	Tunica	Gree	enville	Lake Prov.	V. Platte	Wils	on Mear	1
	2,2,112	1011011	Tunita	Orec			7.4	NC		-

Root-knot Nematode Reaction	1=	no galling	5=	very severe galling
	M. inco	gnita	M. are	enaria
•	1989	1990	1989	1990
DPX 3818	2.0	1.8	4.5	2.0
DP 878		4.3	5.0	4.5
DP 417	4.0	1.0	5.0	3.5
Coker 368	1.5	1.5	4.0	3.5
A 7986	2.0	4.8	4.0	3.5

Location:

AR

Hattiesburg, MS

MS

Conducted by:

MS

Grady Simpson & Grover Shannon

Dr. Robert Kinloch, Nematologist University of Florida, Jay, FL.

5=~very severe

NC

Stem Canker Reaction	l= none
	1990
DPX 3818	2.3
DP 878	4.5
DP 417	2.8
Coker 368	3.5
A 7986	1.0
Location:	•

Scott, MS

Conducted by:

Grady Simpson & Grover Shannon

·		matode Race 14	Frogeye Leaf Spot
DPX 3818	R	R	2.3
DP 878	S	S	1.0
DP 417	S	S	4.0
Coker 368	R	S	5.0
A 7986	S	S	1.3

Location:

Scott, MS by Grover Shannon Jackson, TN by Dr. L. Young

Lake Providence, LA by Grover Shannon

MISCELLANEOUS

Herbicide Tolerance: DPX 3818 shows no obvious sensitivity to Sceptor Sencor, and other herbicides applied at various yield test sites. Iron Chlorosis: Not a problem where DPX 3818 is adapted.

Seed Stock: 106 Bushels of Foundation Seed of 3818- grown as DPX 2327

## DESCRIPTION AND KEY FEATURES OF DPX 3818

DPX 3818 is an Early Group VIII Soybean maturing four days earlier than DP 878. It has very good yield potential with cyst race 3 and 4 resistance and resistance to common and peanut root knot nematode. It is moderately susceptible to stem canker, but shows more resistance than DP 878. DP 3818 plants are moderately tall and have white flowers, tawny pubescence, and tan pods. Seeds are shiny yellow with black hila. Lodging resistance is similar to DP 878. DP 878 has averaged 17% more yield than DP 878 in 19 different Delta and Pine Land tests with better productivity especially in the presence of cyst, root knot, and stem canker.

### KEY FEATURES

- 1. Early Group VIII- four days earlier than DP 878.
- 2. Excellent resistance to Race 3 and 4 cyst nematode.
- 3. Resistant to common and Peanut Root Knot nematode.
- 4. Less susceptible to stem canker than DP 878.
- 5. Has shown 17% more yield potential than DP 878.

# EXHIBIT E Delta and Pine Land Company's Application for DP 3818

## Statement of Applicant's Ownership

Delta and Pine Land Company owns the variety of DP 3818. The cross was made by Delta and Pine Land company personnel and subsequent selection and testing which led to the decision to release DP 3818 were conducted by personnel of Delta and Pine Land Company.